



Control Number: 48785



Item Number: 100

Addendum StartPage: 1

**JOINT APPLICATION OF ONCOR § BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE**  
**ELECTRIC DELIVERY COMPANY LLC, §**  
**AEP TEXAS INC., AND LCRA §**  
**TRANSMISSION SERVICES §**  
**CORPORATION TO AMEND THEIR §**  
**CERTIFICATES OF CONVENIENCE § OF**  
**AND NECESSITY FOR 345-KV §**  
**TRANSMISSION LINES IN PECOS, §**  
**REEVES, AND WARD COUNTIES, §**  
**TEXAS (SAND LAKE TO SOLTICE AND §**  
**BAKERSFIELD TO SOLSTICE) § ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS**

## I. GRANTING MOTIONS TO INTERVENE AND MOTION TO WITHDRAW

- Cross V Ranch, LP,
- MMSmithfield Family Limited Partnership, Ltd.,
- Pettus Czar, Ltd.,
- Atmos Pipeline-Texas,
- Barbour, Inc.,
- Forrister Generation-Skipping Trust,
- Plains Marketing, L.P. and Plains Pipeline, L.P.,
- COG Operating LLC,
- Esther Dudley,
- MMEX Resources Corporation,

2008 Jun 16 Fri 1:40  
Eduardo Rios-Cien

100

- Domingo A. Perez,
- Brockett & McNeel LLP,
- Kevin Wilson, and
- Gale and Dorothy Smith

No party objected to the motions to intervene filed by the foregoing parties. Accordingly, the parties listed above are granted party status and their motions to intervene are **GRANTED**.

On January 3, 2019, the City of Garland (Garland) filed a motion to withdraw as a party to this case. That motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**, and Garland will be removed from the service list in this docket.

## **II. REQUIRING UTILITIES TO REVIEW, UPDATE, AND COMMENT ON THE SERVICE LIST**

As directed on page 9 of SOAH Order No. 1, Oncor Electric Delivery Company LLC (Oncor), AEP Texas Inc. (AEP Texas), and LCRA Transmission Service Corporation (LCRA TSC) (collectively Utilities) must review the service list prior to the filing of Staff's direct testimony, and file a written list of all intervenors that did not file either direct testimony or a statement of position. **As stated in SOAH Order No. 1 and in the procedural schedule adopted in SOAH Order No. 2, for a party to remain a party to this docket, the party was required to file either direct testimony or a statement of position when those pleadings were due on January 10, 2019.** The Utilities **SHALL** also review service list immediately upon receipt of this SOAH Order No. 3 to ensure that all intervenors are on the service list. If the service list is inaccurate, the Utilities must file corrections to the service list prior to the date Staff of the Public Utility Commission of Texas files its direct testimony on January 30, 2019.

The Utilities (and any other party) may obtain the service list by requesting it from the Administrative Law Judges' (ALJs') assistant, Erin Hurley, at [Erin.Hurley@soah.texas.gov](mailto:Erin.Hurley@soah.texas.gov).

### III. COMMENTING ON SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE

On January 14, 2019, Oncor and AEP Texas filed a Notice Regarding Supplemental Notice Affidavit and Request for Approval and a Supplemental Affidavit Attesting to the Provision of Notice. These two pleadings pertain to the Sand Lake-to-Solstice Project within this matter. Oncor and AEP Texas request that the supplemental notice provided to affected landowners be found sufficient and grant any good cause exception deemed necessary. At this time, the supplemental notice and supplemental affidavit appear to be sufficient. However, five days have not passed since those pleadings were filed, during which time a party may wish to comment on those pleadings, and AEP Texas and LCRA TSC may be filing a similar supplemental notice and affidavit for the Bakersfield-to-Solstice Project in the near future, if necessary. For these reasons, the ALJs intend to address the parties' request in a future order.


### IV. OTHER PROCEDURAL MATTERS

Because no party filed a statement challenging the adequacy or routes and a request for a preliminary hearing on route adequacy as of January 3, 2019, the preliminary hearing that otherwise would have been convened on January 11, 2019, was automatically cancelled.

Because there are a number of self-represented parties in proceeding, the ALJs are once again attaching "Information for Unrepresented Parties," which explains the contested case process and filing and service procedures.

Finally, the parties are reminded that this is a consolidated docket combining Docket Nos. 48785 and 48787. Pleadings pertaining to this case should only be filed in this Docket No. 48785, and not in Docket No. 48787.

**SIGNED January 15, 2019.**



STEVEN H. NEINAST  
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE  
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS



GABRIEL P. SOTO  
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE  
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS